

WOMEN'S CHAMPIONSHIP TENNIS --- POLO --- GOLF --- BOXING

THREE BRITISHERS VICTORS ON COURTS

Other Davis Cup Player, J. B. Gilbert, Is Eliminated by W. J. Clothier.

SOUTHAMPTON TOURNEY

Woosnam, F. Gordon Lowe and O. G. N. Turnbull Reach Round Before Semi-Final.

SOUTHAMPTON, N. Y., Aug. 16.—Members of the British Davis cup tennis team to-day advanced to the round before the semi-finals of the singles in the invitation tournament of the Meadow Brook club. William J. Clothier, of Philadelphia, national champion in 1916, eliminated the other British internationalist, J. B. Gilbert, by 7-5, 6-2.

Each of the three British victors won two matches in straight sets. Capt. Maxwell Woosnam defeated T. P. Pell of New York by 6-3, 6-2, after eliminating Arthur Yoncken of Washington by 6-1, 6-2. F. Gordon Lowe had Hugh Kelleher of New York and Donald Kersey of New York as his victims. O. G. N. Turnbull scored an easy victory over Leon De Tournelle of Cambridge, Mass., by 6-3, 6-3, but was extended by Walter Medt of New York in order to win by 1-6, 7-5.

One of the most interesting matches was furnished by Phil Neer and Howard Kinsey of San Francisco, rival captains of the Yale and Harvard tennis teams. It was the first set of tennis from the start. Neer lost the first set by 4-6, but, undismayed, he clung on tenaciously as to win the succeeding sets by 7-5, 6-3, the finish being the most sensational of the day. Neer later lost to Harold Gossell of Los Angeles.

Another bitter uphill tussle was that between Lucken, E. Williams and Brooks Penno, Jr., in which Williams, after losing the first set by 3-6, came back strong, turning apparent defeat into victory by winning two straight sets by 6-4, 7-5.

Willie E. Davis of San Francisco advanced to the fourth round, and Vincent Richards, playing-through defender of the Southampton trophy, won his second round match with E. Van Ingen by default.

The summaries:

First Round—Dwight P. Robinson won from Clothier by default; Lucien E. Williams defeated Brooks Penno, Jr., 3-6, 6-4, 7-5; R. L. Coffin won from J. L. Werner by default.

Second Round—Vincent Richards won from E. Van Ingen by default; Thomas Roosevelt fell defeated J. G. K. Lawrence, 6-0, 6-2; Maxwell Woosnam defeated Arthur Yoncken, 6-1, 6-2; Walter Medt Hall defeated Dwight P. Robinson, 6-4, 6-3; O. G. N. Turnbull defeated Leon De Tournelle, 6-3, 6-3; Phil Neer defeated Howard Kinsey, 4-6, 7-5, 8-6; William J. Clothier defeated Harold Taylor, 7-5, 6-3; J. B. Gilbert defeated Arnold W. Jones, 6-4, 7-5; Willie E. Davis defeated Ignacio Della Fortuna, 6-3, 6-3; F. Gordon Lowe defeated Hugh Kelleher, 6-3, 6-1.

Third Round—William J. Clothier defeated J. B. Gilbert, 7-5, 6-3; Maxwell Woosnam defeated T. P. Pell, 6-3, 6-2; O. G. N. Turnbull defeated Walter Medt Hall, 7-5, 6-3; Harold Gossell, 6-3, 6-2; Willie E. Davis defeated F. Gordon Lowe, 6-3, 6-2; F. Gordon Lowe defeated Donald Kersey, 6-1, 6-2.

Golf Medallist Loses Match at Shennecossett

J. A. Gammons Defeated in First Round of Meet.

SPECIAL DESPATCH TO THE NEW YORK HERALD.—NEW LONDON, Conn., Aug. 16.—John A. Gammons of Wannamoisett, who won the medal here in the qualifying round of the Shennecossett golf tournament, lost his first match to-day to F. G. Patience of Hartford by two holes. Gammons owes his defeat to a poor putting stroke. He would have won the last hole had he not putted badly, and this would have squared the match.

T. D. Armour of Scotland is a favorite to meet Dewitt Balch in the semi-finals, as they appear to be the strongest of players in the tournament.

Two New Yorkers are left in the championship fight. They are B. F. Couderc of Piping Rock and Harold Rowe of Cherry Valley. Couderc defeated L. Lloyd of Greenwich, while Rowe beat Robinson Cook of Hartford on the nineteenth.

E. L. Beard, Jr., of Flushing met defeat at the hands of Balch after having a chance to carry off the match. He finished 2 down.

Armour played steady golf and discomfited R. H. Deming of Hartford by 4 and 3. The summary:

First Sixteen—F. G. Patience, Hartford, defeated John A. Gammons, Wannamoisett, 1 up; R. H. Deming, Hartford, defeated P. R. Hollister, Cincinnati, 1 up; H. A. Dewitt, Hartford, defeated E. M. Gould, St. Louis, 3 and 1; B. F. Couderc, Piping Rock, defeated L. Lloyd, Greenwich, 2 and 1; Dewitt Balch, Cincinnati, defeated E. L. Beard, Jr., Flushing, 2 up; George N. Collet, Rhode Island, defeated Ellis Adams, Essex County, 4 and 3; T. D. Armour, Loutham, Scotland, defeated R. H. Deming, Hartford, 4 and 3; Harold Rowe, Cherry Valley, defeated Robinson Cook, Hartford, 1 up (19 holes).

Mitchell and Duncan Triumph by 3 and 2

Defeat Fotheringham and Minor at Bethlehem.

SPECIAL DESPATCH TO THE NEW YORK HERALD.—BETHLEHEM, N. H., Aug. 16.—The Mitchell and George Duncan continued their successful invasion of the country this afternoon, defeating George Fotheringham, professional of the Bretton Woods Golf Club, and D. E. Minor, professional of the Maplewood Club, on the Bethlehem course by 3 up and 2 to play. The feature of the match was the long driving of Mitchell who was near enough to all the greens to use an iron for his second shot. The team work of the British pair was a little too strong for the local professionals, who had never played together before this afternoon. Neither Duncan nor Mitchell took kindly to the mountain greens, which were somewhat less smooth than the courses recently played; consequently they missed putts that normally would be possible. The first nine holes finished all square. Mitchell won the second with a birdie three and Fotheringham evened the score with an equally good birdie three on the fourth where he sank a long putt. The tenth went to Mitchell and Duncan, the former getting a three. From then on they led the way and everything was halved until the fifteenth when a four by Mitchell was good for a hole. Duncan clinched the match with a birdie three on the sixteenth.

Mitchell scored 37, 37, 74, a stroke more than Duncan who had 35, 35, 72. Minor required 35, 38, 73, while Fotheringham was 37, 38, 75.

Football at West Virginia. MORGANTOWN, W. Va., Aug. 16.—Immediately on his return from an interview with Coach Spaw, the Chicago Director of the football team at West Virginia, returned to the city to attend West Virginia training camp at Deer Park, Md., beginning Monday, August 23. Twenty-seven veterans are in the list, when the students were drawn in a large waiting list of men is available to fill any vacant positions, according to the announcement.

Football at West Virginia. MORGANTOWN, W. Va., Aug. 16.—Immediately on his return from an interview with Coach Spaw, the Chicago Director of the football team at West Virginia, returned to the city to attend West Virginia training camp at Deer Park, Md., beginning Monday, August 23. Twenty-seven veterans are in the list, when the students were drawn in a large waiting list of men is available to fill any vacant positions, according to the announcement.

Football at West Virginia. MORGANTOWN, W. Va., Aug. 16.—Immediately on his return from an interview with Coach Spaw, the Chicago Director of the football team at West Virginia, returned to the city to attend West Virginia training camp at Deer Park, Md., beginning Monday, August 23. Twenty-seven veterans are in the list, when the students were drawn in a large waiting list of men is available to fill any vacant positions, according to the announcement.

Football at West Virginia. MORGANTOWN, W. Va., Aug. 16.—Immediately on his return from an interview with Coach Spaw, the Chicago Director of the football team at West Virginia, returned to the city to attend West Virginia training camp at Deer Park, Md., beginning Monday, August 23. Twenty-seven veterans are in the list, when the students were drawn in a large waiting list of men is available to fill any vacant positions, according to the announcement.

Winner Over Mlle. Lenglen.



MRS. MALLORY PHOTO BY N. Y. HERALD STAFF PHOTOGRAPHER.

POLO VICTORY FOR MEADOW BROOK

Scores 8 Goals to Whippany River's 7 in the Hempstead Cups Match.

SPECIAL DESPATCH TO THE NEW YORK HERALD.—WESTBURY, L. I., Aug. 16.—By a score of 8 to 7 goals the Meadow Brook Ramblers defeated the Whippany River (N. J.) polo team this afternoon at Meadow Brook in the second match for the Hempstead cups for teams whose aggregate handicaps do not exceed twelve goals.

As both teams were on an even handicap of twelve goals it was an exceedingly close contest. Meadow Brook played with dash and brilliant team work and horsemanship throughout. The New Jersey four had somewhat the better team work, but so aggressively did "Congressman" Bacon and R. Penn Smith, formerly of the Philadelphia Country Club, perform that the visitors failed to score in the fourth period when Meadow Brook hit five goals to a zero for Whippany River. The lineup:

WHIPPANY FREE-ROTTERS Meadow Brook Ramblers. Capt. H. P. Smith, 1; R. Penn Smith, 2; D. J. D. No. 2—R. P. Smith, 1; No. 3—R. P. Smith, 2; No. 4—R. P. Smith, 3; No. 5—R. P. Smith, 4; No. 6—R. P. Smith, 5; No. 7—R. P. Smith, 6; No. 8—R. P. Smith, 7; No. 9—R. P. Smith, 8; No. 10—R. P. Smith, 9; No. 11—R. P. Smith, 10; No. 12—R. P. Smith, 11; No. 13—R. P. Smith, 12; No. 14—R. P. Smith, 13; No. 15—R. P. Smith, 14; No. 16—R. P. Smith, 15; No. 17—R. P. Smith, 16; No. 18—R. P. Smith, 17; No. 19—R. P. Smith, 18; No. 20—R. P. Smith, 19; No. 21—R. P. Smith, 20; No. 22—R. P. Smith, 21; No. 23—R. P. Smith, 22; No. 24—R. P. Smith, 23; No. 25—R. P. Smith, 24; No. 26—R. P. Smith, 25; No. 27—R. P. Smith, 26; No. 28—R. P. Smith, 27; No. 29—R. P. Smith, 28; No. 30—R. P. Smith, 29; No. 31—R. P. Smith, 30; No. 32—R. P. Smith, 31; No. 33—R. P. Smith, 32; No. 34—R. P. Smith, 33; No. 35—R. P. Smith, 34; No. 36—R. P. Smith, 35; No. 37—R. P. Smith, 36; No. 38—R. P. Smith, 37; No. 39—R. P. Smith, 38; No. 40—R. P. Smith, 39; No. 41—R. P. Smith, 40; No. 42—R. P. Smith, 41; No. 43—R. P. Smith, 42; No. 44—R. P. Smith, 43; No. 45—R. P. Smith, 44; No. 46—R. P. Smith, 45; No. 47—R. P. Smith, 46; No. 48—R. P. Smith, 47; No. 49—R. P. Smith, 48; No. 50—R. P. Smith, 49; No. 51—R. P. Smith, 50; No. 52—R. P. Smith, 51; No. 53—R. P. Smith, 52; No. 54—R. P. Smith, 53; No. 55—R. P. Smith, 54; No. 56—R. P. Smith, 55; No. 57—R. P. Smith, 56; No. 58—R. P. Smith, 57; No. 59—R. P. Smith, 58; No. 60—R. P. Smith, 59; No. 61—R. P. Smith, 60; No. 62—R. P. Smith, 61; No. 63—R. P. Smith, 62; No. 64—R. P. Smith, 63; No. 65—R. P. Smith, 64; No. 66—R. P. Smith, 65; No. 67—R. P. Smith, 66; No. 68—R. P. Smith, 67; No. 69—R. P. Smith, 68; No. 70—R. P. Smith, 69; No. 71—R. P. Smith, 70; No. 72—R. P. Smith, 71; No. 73—R. P. Smith, 72; No. 74—R. P. Smith, 73; No. 75—R. P. Smith, 74; No. 76—R. P. Smith, 75; No. 77—R. P. Smith, 76; No. 78—R. P. Smith, 77; No. 79—R. P. Smith, 78; No. 80—R. P. Smith, 79; No. 81—R. P. Smith, 80; No. 82—R. P. Smith, 81; No. 83—R. P. Smith, 82; No. 84—R. P. Smith, 83; No. 85—R. P. Smith, 84; No. 86—R. P. Smith, 85; No. 87—R. P. Smith, 86; No. 88—R. P. Smith, 87; No. 89—R. P. Smith, 88; No. 90—R. P. Smith, 89; No. 91—R. P. Smith, 90; No. 92—R. P. Smith, 91; No. 93—R. P. Smith, 92; No. 94—R. P. Smith, 93; No. 95—R. P. Smith, 94; No. 96—R. P. Smith, 95; No. 97—R. P. Smith, 96; No. 98—R. P. Smith, 97; No. 99—R. P. Smith, 98; No. 100—R. P. Smith, 99; No. 101—R. P. Smith, 100; No. 102—R. P. Smith, 101; No. 103—R. P. Smith, 102; No. 104—R. P. Smith, 103; No. 105—R. P. Smith, 104; No. 106—R. P. Smith, 105; No. 107—R. P. Smith, 106; No. 108—R. P. Smith, 107; No. 109—R. P. Smith, 108; No. 110—R. P. Smith, 109; No. 111—R. P. Smith, 110; No. 112—R. P. Smith, 111; No. 113—R. P. Smith, 112; No. 114—R. P. Smith, 113; No. 115—R. P. Smith, 114; No. 116—R. P. Smith, 115; No. 117—R. P. Smith, 116; No. 118—R. P. Smith, 117; No. 119—R. P. Smith, 118; No. 120—R. P. Smith, 119; No. 121—R. P. Smith, 120; No. 122—R. P. Smith, 121; No. 123—R. P. Smith, 122; No. 124—R. P. Smith, 123; No. 125—R. P. Smith, 124; No. 126—R. P. Smith, 125; No. 127—R. P. Smith, 126; No. 128—R. P. Smith, 127; No. 129—R. P. Smith, 128; No. 130—R. P. Smith, 129; No. 131—R. P. Smith, 130; No. 132—R. P. Smith, 131; No. 133—R. P. Smith, 132; No. 134—R. P. Smith, 133; No. 135—R. P. Smith, 134; No. 136—R. P. Smith, 135; No. 137—R. P. Smith, 136; No. 138—R. P. Smith, 137; No. 139—R. P. Smith, 138; No. 140—R. P. Smith, 139; No. 141—R. P. Smith, 140; No. 142—R. P. Smith, 141; No. 143—R. P. Smith, 142; No. 144—R. P. Smith, 143; No. 145—R. P. Smith, 144; No. 146—R. P. Smith, 145; No. 147—R. P. Smith, 146; No. 148—R. P. Smith, 147; No. 149—R. P. Smith, 148; No. 150—R. P. Smith, 149; No. 151—R. P. Smith, 150; No. 152—R. P. Smith, 151; No. 153—R. P. Smith, 152; No. 154—R. P. Smith, 153; No. 155—R. P. Smith, 154; No. 156—R. P. Smith, 155; No. 157—R. P. Smith, 156; No. 158—R. P. Smith, 157; No. 159—R. P. Smith, 158; No. 160—R. P. Smith, 159; No. 161—R. P. Smith, 160; No. 162—R. P. Smith, 161; No. 163—R. P. Smith, 162; No. 164—R. P. Smith, 163; No. 165—R. P. Smith, 164; No. 166—R. P. Smith, 165; No. 167—R. P. Smith, 166; No. 168—R. P. Smith, 167; No. 169—R. P. Smith, 168; No. 170—R. P. Smith, 169; No. 171—R. P. Smith, 170; No. 172—R. P. Smith, 171; No. 173—R. P. Smith, 172; No. 174—R. P. Smith, 173; No. 175—R. P. Smith, 174; No. 176—R. P. Smith, 175; No. 177—R. P. Smith, 176; No. 178—R. P. Smith, 177; No. 179—R. P. Smith, 178; No. 180—R. P. Smith, 179; No. 181—R. P. Smith, 180; No. 182—R. P. Smith, 181; No. 183—R. P. Smith, 182; No. 184—R. P. Smith, 183; No. 185—R. P. Smith, 184; No. 186—R. P. Smith, 185; No. 187—R. P. Smith, 186; No. 188—R. P. Smith, 187; No. 189—R. P. Smith, 188; No. 190—R. P. Smith, 189; No. 191—R. P. Smith, 190; No. 192—R. P. Smith, 191; No. 193—R. P. Smith, 192; No. 194—R. P. Smith, 193; No. 195—R. P. Smith, 194; No. 196—R. P. Smith, 195; No. 197—R. P. Smith, 196; No. 198—R. P. Smith, 197; No. 199—R. P. Smith, 198; No. 200—R. P. Smith, 199; No. 201—R. P. Smith, 200; No. 202—R. P. Smith, 201; No. 203—R. P. Smith, 202; No. 204—R. P. Smith, 203; No. 205—R. P. Smith, 204; No. 206—R. P. Smith, 205; No. 207—R. P. Smith, 206; No. 208—R. P. Smith, 207; No. 209—R. P. Smith, 208; No. 210—R. P. Smith, 209; No. 211—R. P. Smith, 210; No. 212—R. P. Smith, 211; No. 213—R. P. Smith, 212; No. 214—R. P. Smith, 213; No. 215—R. P. Smith, 214; No. 216—R. P. Smith, 215; No. 217—R. P. Smith, 216; No. 218—R. P. Smith, 217; No. 219—R. P. Smith, 218; No. 220—R. P. Smith, 219; No. 221—R. P. Smith, 220; No. 222—R. P. Smith, 221; No. 223—R. P. Smith, 222; No. 224—R. P. Smith, 223; No. 225—R. P. Smith, 224; No. 226—R. P. Smith, 225; No. 227—R. P. Smith, 226; No. 228—R. P. Smith, 227; No. 229—R. P. Smith, 228; No. 230—R. P. Smith, 229; No. 231—R. P. Smith, 230; No. 232—R. P. Smith, 231; No. 233—R. P. Smith, 232; No. 234—R. P. Smith, 233; No. 235—R. P. Smith, 234; No. 236—R. P. Smith, 235; No. 237—R. P. Smith, 236; No. 238—R. P. Smith, 237; No. 239—R. P. Smith, 238; No. 240—R. P. Smith, 239; No. 241—R. P. Smith, 240; No. 242—R. P. Smith, 241; No. 243—R. P. Smith, 242; No. 244—R. P. Smith, 243; No. 245—R. P. Smith, 244; No. 246—R. P. Smith, 245; No. 247—R. P. Smith, 246; No. 248—R. P. Smith, 247; No. 249—R. P. Smith, 248; No. 250—R. P. Smith, 249; No. 251—R. P. Smith, 250; No. 252—R. P. Smith, 251; No. 253—R. P. Smith, 252; No. 254—R. P. Smith, 253; No. 255—R. P. Smith, 254; No. 256—R. P. Smith, 255; No. 257—R. P. Smith, 256; No. 258—R. P. Smith, 257; No. 259—R. P. Smith, 258; No. 260—R. P. Smith, 259; No. 261—R. P. Smith, 260; No. 262—R. P. Smith, 261; No. 263—R. P. Smith, 262; No. 264—R. P. Smith, 263; No. 265—R. P. Smith, 264; No. 266—R. P. Smith, 265; No. 267—R. P. Smith, 266; No. 268—R. P. Smith, 267; No. 269—R. P. Smith, 268; No. 270—R. P. Smith, 269; No. 271—R. P. Smith, 270; No. 272—R. P. Smith, 271; No. 273—R. P. Smith, 272; No. 274—R. P. Smith, 273; No. 275—R. P. Smith, 274; No. 276—R. P. Smith, 275; No. 277—R. P. Smith, 276; No. 278—R. P. Smith, 277; No. 279—R. P. Smith, 278; No. 280—R. P. Smith, 279; No. 281—R. P. Smith, 280; No. 282—R. P. Smith, 281; No. 283—R. P. Smith, 282; No. 284—R. P. Smith, 283; No. 285—R. P. Smith, 284; No. 286—R. P. Smith, 285; No. 287—R. P. Smith, 286; No. 288—R. P. Smith, 287; No. 289—R. P. Smith, 288; No. 290—R. P. Smith, 289; No. 291—R. P. Smith, 290; No. 292—R. P. Smith, 291; No. 293—R. P. Smith, 292; No. 294—R. P. Smith, 293; No. 295—R. P. Smith, 294; No. 296—R. P. Smith, 295; No. 297—R. P. Smith, 296; No. 298—R. P. Smith, 297; No. 299—R. P. Smith, 298; No. 300—R. P. Smith, 299; No. 301—R. P. Smith, 300; No. 302—R. P. Smith, 301; No. 303—R. P. Smith, 302; No. 304—R. P. Smith, 303; No. 305—R. P. Smith, 304; No. 306—R. P. Smith, 305; No. 307—R. P. Smith, 306; No. 308—R. P. Smith, 307; No. 309—R. P. Smith, 308; No. 310—R. P. Smith, 309; No. 311—R. P. Smith, 310; No. 312—R. P. Smith, 311; No. 313—R. P. Smith, 312; No. 314—R. P. Smith, 313; No. 315—R. P. Smith, 314; No. 316—R. P. Smith, 315; No. 317—R. P. Smith, 316; No. 318—R. P. Smith, 317; No. 319—R. P. Smith, 318; No. 320—R. P. Smith, 319; No. 321—R. P. Smith, 320; No. 322—R. P. Smith, 321; No. 323—R. P. Smith, 322; No. 324—R. P. Smith, 323; No. 325—R. P. Smith, 324; No. 326—R. P. Smith, 325; No. 327—R. P. Smith, 326; No. 328—R. P. Smith, 327; No. 329—R. P. Smith, 328; No. 330—R. P. Smith, 329; No. 331—R. P. Smith, 330; No. 332—R. P. Smith, 331; No. 333—R. P. Smith, 332; No. 334—R. P. Smith, 333; No. 335—R. P. Smith, 334; No. 336—R. P. Smith, 335; No. 337—R. P. Smith, 336; No. 338—R. P. Smith, 337; No. 339—R. P. Smith, 338; No. 340—R. P. Smith, 339; No. 341—R. P. Smith, 340; No. 342—R. P. Smith, 341; No. 343—R. P. Smith, 342; No. 344—R. P. Smith, 343; No. 345—R. P. Smith, 344; No. 346—R. P. Smith, 345; No. 347—R. P. Smith, 346; No. 348—R. P. Smith, 347; No. 349—R. P. Smith, 348; No. 350—R. P. Smith, 349; No. 351—R. P. Smith, 350; No. 352—R. P. Smith, 351; No. 353—R. P. Smith, 352; No. 354—R. P. Smith, 353; No. 355—R. P. Smith, 354; No. 356—R. P. Smith, 355; No. 357—R. P. Smith, 356; No. 358—R. P. Smith, 357; No. 359—R. P. Smith, 358; No. 360—R. P. Smith, 359; No. 361—R. P. Smith, 360; No. 362—R. P. Smith, 361; No. 363—R. P. Smith, 362; No. 364—R. P. Smith, 363; No. 365—R. P. Smith, 364; No. 366—R. P. Smith, 365; No. 367—R. P. Smith, 366; No. 368—R. P. Smith, 367; No. 369—R. P. Smith, 368; No. 370—R. P. Smith, 369; No. 371—R. P. Smith, 370; No. 372—R. P. Smith, 371; No. 373—R. P. Smith, 372; No. 374—R. P. Smith, 373; No. 375—R. P. Smith, 374; No. 376—R. P. Smith, 375; No. 377—R. P. Smith, 376; No. 378—R. P. Smith, 377; No. 379—R. P. Smith, 378; No. 380—R. P. Smith, 379; No. 381—R. P. Smith, 380; No. 382—R. P. Smith, 381; No. 383—R. P. Smith, 382; No. 384—R. P. Smith, 383; No. 385—R. P. Smith, 384; No. 386—R. P. Smith, 385; No. 387—R. P. Smith, 386; No. 388—R. P. Smith, 387; No. 389—R. P. Smith, 388; No. 390—R. P. Smith, 389; No. 391—R. P. Smith, 390; No. 392—R. P. Smith, 391; No. 393—R. P. Smith, 392; No. 394—R. P. Smith, 393; No. 395—R. P. Smith, 394; No. 396—R. P. Smith, 395; No. 397—R. P. Smith, 396; No. 398—R. P. Smith, 397; No. 399—R. P. Smith, 398; No. 400—R. P. Smith, 399; No. 401—R. P. Smith, 400; No. 402—R. P. Smith, 401; No. 403—R. P. Smith, 402; No. 404—R. P. Smith, 403; No. 405—R. P. Smith, 404; No. 406—R. P. Smith, 405; No. 407—R. P. Smith, 406; No. 408—R. P. Smith, 407; No. 409—R. P. Smith, 408; No. 410—R. P. Smith, 409; No. 411—R. P. Smith, 410; No. 412—R. P. Smith, 411; No. 413—R. P. Smith, 412; No. 414—R. P. Smith, 413; No. 415—R. P. Smith, 414; No. 416—R. P. Smith, 415; No. 417—R. P. Smith, 416; No. 418—R. P. Smith, 417; No. 419—R. P. Smith, 418; No. 420—R. P. Smith, 419; No. 421—R. P. Smith, 420; No. 422—R. P. Smith, 421; No. 423—R. P. Smith, 422; No. 424—R. P. Smith, 423; No. 425—R. P. Smith, 424; No. 426—R. P. Smith, 425; No. 427—R. P. Smith, 426; No. 428—R. P. Smith, 427; No. 429—R. P. Smith, 428; No. 430—R. P. Smith, 429; No. 431—R. P. Smith, 430; No. 432—R. P. Smith, 431; No. 433—R. P. Smith, 432; No. 434—R. P. Smith, 433; No. 435—R. P. Smith, 434; No. 436—R. P. Smith, 435; No. 437—R. P. Smith, 436; No. 438—R. P. Smith, 437; No. 439—R. P. Smith, 438; No. 440—R. P. Smith, 439; No. 441—R. P. Smith, 440; No. 442—R. P. Smith, 441; No. 443—R. P. Smith, 442; No. 444—R. P. Smith, 443; No. 445—R. P. Smith, 444; No. 446—R. P. Smith, 445; No. 447—R. P. Smith, 446; No. 448—R. P. Smith, 447; No. 449—R. P. Smith, 448; No. 450—R. P. Smith, 449; No. 451—R. P. Smith, 450; No. 452—R. P. Smith, 451; No. 453—R. P. Smith, 452; No. 454—R. P. Smith, 453; No. 455—R. P. Smith, 454; No. 456—R. P. Smith, 455; No. 457—R. P. Smith, 456; No. 458—R. P. Smith, 457; No. 459—R. P. Smith, 458; No. 460—R. P. Smith, 459; No. 461—R. P. Smith, 460; No. 462—R. P. Smith, 461; No. 463—R. P. Smith, 462; No. 464—R. P. Smith, 463; No. 465—R. P. Smith, 464; No. 466—R. P. Smith, 465; No. 467—R. P. Smith, 466; No. 468—R. P. Smith, 467; No. 469—R. P. Smith, 468; No. 470—R. P. Smith, 469; No. 471—R. P. Smith, 470; No. 472—R. P. Smith, 471; No. 473—R. P. Smith, 472; No. 474—R. P. Smith, 473; No. 475—R. P. Smith, 474; No. 476—R. P. Smith, 475; No. 477—R. P. Smith, 476; No. 478—R. P. Smith, 477; No. 479—R. P. Smith, 478; No. 480—R. P. Smith, 479; No. 481—R. P. Smith, 480; No. 482—R. P. Smith, 481; No. 483—R. P. Smith, 482; No. 484—R. P. Smith, 483; No. 485—R. P. Smith, 484; No. 486—R. P. Smith, 485; No. 487—R. P. Smith, 486; No. 488—R. P. Smith, 487; No. 489—R. P. Smith, 488; No. 490—R. P. Smith, 489; No. 491—R. P. Smith, 490; No. 492—R. P. Smith, 491; No. 493—R. P. Smith, 492; No. 494—R. P. Smith, 493; No. 495—R. P. Smith, 494; No. 496—R. P. Smith, 495; No. 497—R.